

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/694,422                                     | 10/27/2003      | Stephan Schauz       | 442-197             | 3351             |
| 23869  | 7590 05/03/2005 | EXAMINER             |                     | INER             |
| HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP<br>6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE |                 |                      | PRASAD, CI          | IANDRIKA         |
| SYOSSET, NY 11791                              |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,  |                 |                      | 2839                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.                  | Applicant(s)                |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/694,422                       | SCHAUZ ET AL.               |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                         | Art Unit                    |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | Chandrika Prasad                 | 2839                        |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ap  | oril 2005.                       | \                           |  |  |  |  |
|   | action is non-final.             |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213.                 |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15,16 and 20 is/are v   | vithdrawn from consideration.    |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | •                                |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,17-19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r. '                             |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
|   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |                                  |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03.  |                                  | atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the other abutment type contact faces" in lines 1-2.

  There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-8, 10-14 and 17-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whiteman, Jr. et al. (5551882).

Whiteman (Figures 1-10) shows an electromechanical plug having a plug connector 1 adapted to mating with a mating plug connector 1 and comprising an

Application/Control Number: 10/694,422

Art Unit: 2839

outgoing cable part 31 with a plastic housing having an embedded printed circuit board (circuit substrate) 9 electrically connected to an outgoing cable 17 and carrying a rotatable contact carrying drum with a front and rear axial sides and having first plug contacts 7 accessible from the front axial side to mate with second plug contacts of the mating plug. The contact carrying drum's axial rear side has first abutment type contact faces, which are contacted and are able to be contacted by second abutment type contact faces irrespective of the relative position of the outgoing cable part and the contact carrying drum. The first and/or second abutment type faces are partially circular or circularly arcuate with a center of curvature on the longitudinal axis of the drum and are concentrically arranged and are at the same level. The contact carrying drum has unlimited rotation relative to the outgoing cable part. The contact carrying drum is movable axially and the first and second abutment type contact faces are thrust against each other. The drum is a molded interconnect device. The drum possesses sockets open towards the front axial side to receive pin-like plug contacts 4. The sockets are delimited by elastic holding means at the outer periphery of the drum and have a generally trapezium-like cross-section. The plug connector is designed as an elbowconnector. The housing has annular sealing projections

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2839

8. Claims 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whiteman, Jr. et al. (5551882) in view of Young (6358077) or Treche (5547305).

Whiteman discloses all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 6 described above except a tooth engaging means between the drum and the outgoing cable part. Such a feature is well known in the art of electrical and mechanical connectors as shown by Treche and Young. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide such a feature to make an interlocking engagement as is well known.

#### Contact Information

9. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner April 18, 2005